

## 2017-2018 COORDINATED SCHOOL HEALTH DISTRICT SUMMARY REPORT

### Results

Coordinated School Health (CSH) Program implementation data were collected from each Austin Independent School District (AISD) campus in Spring 2018. Campus results will be reflected in the District’s strategic scorecard and the House Bill 5 ratings, i.e., the School District Evaluation of Performance in Community and Student Engagement for wellness and physical education (TEC §46 (C) 39.0545).

Schools were rated on their Coordinated School Health Implementation on a 4 point scale (Unacceptable, Acceptable, Recognized, or Exemplary) based on identified activities that support coordinated school health efforts. The rating scale was different at each level<sup>1</sup>, based on the overall number of required and supplemental activities available at each level.

**Table 1**  
**Summary of Coordinated School Health Opportunities and Campus Ratings by Level**

	Elementary	Middle	High	Other*	Total
<b>CSH Activity Opportunities Available</b>					
# of Required activities possible	19	18	12	Varied	NA
# of Supplemental activities possible	77	66	57	Varied	NA
<b>Summary of campus ratings achieved</b>					
# of campuses at Exemplary status	62	15	12	3	92
# of campuses at Recognized status	11	0	1	1	13
# of campuses at Acceptable status	0	0	0	0	0
# of campuses at Unacceptable status	8	4	0	2	14
<b>% of campuses at Exemplary or Recognized</b>	90%	79%	100%	67%	88%

Source. 2017-2018 AISD CSH Program Reports. Schools achieving Recognized or Exemplary met the scorecard standard.

\* Note. “Other” includes alternative and multi-level campuses: Alternative Learning Center, Garza, Dobie, Read, Rosedale, and Uphaus. All 6 of these campuses had unique numbers of opportunities and slightly different scoring rubrics (see Table 4).

AISD campuses are encouraged to use their results to set goals for their Campus Improvement Plan.

<sup>1</sup> High School rating scale: unacceptable – achieved less than the 12 required items; acceptable – achieved all 12 required and 18 or fewer supplemental items; recognized – achieved all 12 required and 19-32 supplemental items; exemplary – achieved all 12 required and 33 or more supplemental items.

Middle school rating scale: unacceptable – achieved less than the 18 required items; acceptable – achieved all 18 required and 19 or fewer supplemental items; recognized – achieved all 18 required and 20-37 supplemental items; exemplary – achieved all 18 required and 38 or more supplemental items.

Elementary school rating scale: unacceptable – achieved less than the 19 required items; acceptable – achieved all 19 required and 25 or fewer supplemental items; recognized – achieved all 19 required and 26-48 supplemental items; exemplary – achieved all 19 required and 49 or more supplemental items.



## 2017-2018 Campus Level Summary

Table 2

### 2017-2018 Secondary School Implementation and Rating Summary

	Required Achieved	Supplemental Achieved	Rating
<b>High Schools</b>			
Akins High	12	47	Exemplary
Anderson High	12	41	Exemplary
Austin High	12	50	Exemplary
Bowie High	12	32	Recognized
Crockett High	12	48	Exemplary
Eastside Memorial High	12	46	Exemplary
International High School	12	44	Exemplary
Lanier High	12	41	Exemplary
LBJ Comprehensive High	12	51	Exemplary
Liberal Arts And Science Academy	12	33	Exemplary
McCallum High	12	50	Exemplary
Reagan High	12	37	Exemplary
Travis High	12	45	Exemplary
<b>Middle Schools</b>			
Ann Richards School for Young Women Leaders	18	47	Exemplary
Bailey Middle	18	47	Exemplary
Bedichek Middle	18	48	Exemplary
Burnet Middle	18	55	Exemplary
Covington Middle	17	47	Unacceptable
Dobie Middle	18	59	Exemplary
Fulmore Middle	18	51	Exemplary
Garcia Young Men's Leadership Academy	18	47	Exemplary
Gorzycki Middle School	18	50	Exemplary
Kealing Middle	18	47	Exemplary
Lamar Middle	18	52	Exemplary
Martin Middle	17	44	Unacceptable
Mendez Middle	16	39	Unacceptable
Murchison Middle	18	55	Exemplary
O. Henry Middle	18	50	Exemplary
Paredes Middle	18	51	Exemplary
Sadler Means Young Women's Leadership Academy	16	57	Unacceptable
Small Middle	18	58	Exemplary
Webb Middle	18	50	Exemplary

Source. 2017-2018 Coordinated School Health data collection.

Table 3

## 2017-2018 Elementary School Implementation and Rating Summary

Elementary Schools	Required Achieved	Supplemental Achieved	Rating	Elementary Schools	Required Achieved	Supplemental Achieved	Rating
Allison	17	59	Unacceptable	Linder	19	60	Exemplary
Andrews	19	59	Exemplary	Maplewood	19	67	Exemplary
Baldwin	18	53	Unacceptable	Mathews	19	48	Recognized
Baranoff	19	68	Exemplary	Mcbee	19	64	Exemplary
Barrington	19	62	Exemplary	Menchaca	19	48	Recognized
Barton Hills	19	70	Exemplary	Metz	19	64	Exemplary
Becker	19	69	Exemplary	Mills	19	67	Exemplary
Blackshear	19	73	Exemplary	Norman	14	43	Unacceptable
Blanton	19	46	Recognized	Oak Hill	19	62	Exemplary
Blazier	19	55	Exemplary	Oak Springs	19	34	Recognized
Boone	19	45	Recognized	Odom	19	54	Exemplary
Brentwood	19	59	Exemplary	Ortega	19	62	Exemplary
Brooke	19	50	Exemplary	Overton	19	57	Exemplary
Brown	19	71	Exemplary	Padron	19	63	Exemplary
Bryker Woods	19	70	Exemplary	Palm	19	69	Exemplary
Campbell	19	66	Exemplary	Patton	17	53	Unacceptable
Casey	19	60	Exemplary	Pease	19	54	Exemplary
Casis	19	56	Exemplary	Pecan Springs	19	67	Exemplary
Clayton	17	65	Unacceptable	Perez	19	64	Exemplary
Cook	19	64	Exemplary	Pickle	15	32	Unacceptable
Cowan	19	52	Exemplary	Pillow	18	62	Unacceptable
Cunningham	19	74	Exemplary	Pleasant Hill	19	59	Exemplary
Davis	19	65	Exemplary	Reilly	17	50	Unacceptable
Dawson	19	53	Exemplary	Ridgetop	19	42	Recognized
Doss	19	66	Exemplary	Rodriguez	19	45	Recognized
Galindo	19	56	Exemplary	Sanchez	19	48	Recognized
Govalle	19	36	Recognized	Sims	19	46	Recognized
Graham	19	68	Exemplary	St. Elmo	19	62	Exemplary
Guerrero-Thompson	19	56	Exemplary	Summitt	19	63	Exemplary
Gullett	19	67	Exemplary	Sunset Valley	19	53	Exemplary
Harris	19	66	Exemplary	Travis Heights	19	68	Exemplary
Hart	19	73	Exemplary	Walnut Creek	19	54	Exemplary
Highland Park	19	56	Exemplary	Webb Primary Center	19	52	Exemplary
Hill	19	58	Exemplary	Widen	19	52	Exemplary
Houston	19	68	Exemplary	Williams	19	65	Exemplary
Jordan	19	46	Recognized	Winn	19	67	Exemplary
Joslin	19	60	Exemplary	Wooldridge	19	56	Exemplary
Kiker	19	52	Exemplary	Wooten	19	53	Exemplary
Kocurek	19	64	Exemplary	Zavala	19	60	Exemplary
Langford	19	57	Exemplary	Zilker	19	61	Exemplary
Lee	19	56	Exemplary				

Source. 2017-2018 Coordinated School Health data collection.

**Table 4**

**2017-2018 Alternative and Multi-Level School Implementation and Rating Summary**

	# of Required activities possible	# of Supplemental activities possible	Required Achieved	Supplemental Achieved	Rating
Alternative Learning Center	15	43	10	14	Unacceptable
Dobie Pre-K Center	10	51	10	27	Recognized
Garza Independence	11	45	11	31	Exemplary
Lucy Read Pre-K Center	10	51	9	40	Unacceptable
Rosedale (Elementary Grades)	15	43	15	40	Exemplary
Uphaus ECCL	17	60	17	52	Exemplary

Source. 2016-2017 Coordinated School Health data collection.

Note. Because each of the above schools have unique and varied educational programs, the number of required and supplemental activities that applied to them differed. For this reason, the number of possible activities is listed for each campus here.

**Table 5**

**2015-2016 through 2017-2018 Percentage of Campuses Achieving Recognized or Exemplary CSH Rating**

School Year	Elementary Schools	Middle Schools	High Schools	Other* Schools	District total
2015-2016	48%	53%	43%	67%	51%
2016-2017	83%	89%	71%	50%	82%
2017-2018	90%	79%	100%	40%	88%

Source. 2016-2017 Coordinated School Health data collection.

\* "Other" schools include alternative and multi-level campuses.

Note. Scoring has changed over time.

**AUSTIN INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Author

Cinda Christian, Ph.D.

**Department of Research and Evaluation**



1111 West 6th Street, Suite D-350 | Austin, TX 78703-5338  
 512.414.1724 | fax: 512.414.1707  
 www.austinisd.org/dre | Twitter: @AISD\_DRE

June 2018  
 Publication 17.24 RB 1