



AUSTIN ISD BOARD MONITORING REPORT

REPORT DATE: August 13, 2026

REPORTING PERIOD: EOY 2026

GOAL 1 3 rd Grade Literacy	The percentage of 3rd-grade students earning meets grade level or above on the STAAR Reading Assessment in English or Spanish will increase from 47% in June 2024 to 59% by June 2029.
GPM 1.1 2 nd Grade Literacy Intervention	The percentage of 2nd-grade students scoring in the recommended-for-intervention level on the NWEA MAP Reading Achievement Score Proficiency Indicator (below 30th percentile in English/40th percentile in Spanish) will decrease from 30% in June 2024 to 29% by June 2029.

CAMPUS OUTLIERS AND HIGHLIGHTS

The campuses highlighted below exemplify Austin ISD's reading beliefs in action—showing how engaging, hands-on, data-informed instruction and strong foundational literacy systems lead to measurable gains for students. Many of these schools serve high percentages of historically underserved students and have implemented consistent structures for collaboration, coaching, and targeted intervention. Their work demonstrates that when daily instruction is rigorous and aligned to strong Tier 1 systems, early literacy can accelerate rapidly and disrupt inequitable patterns in student outcomes.

The following campuses achieved the most notable reductions in students requiring literacy interventions between BOY and EOY:

District Leader in Growth (25-Point Drop)

- Sanchez ES: Reduced intervention levels from 50% to 25% (-25 percentage points).

Premier Growth Leaders (17 to 19-Point Drops)

- Mathews ES: Reduced from 29% to 10% (-19 pts).
- Rodriguez ES: Reduced from 50% to 32% (-18 pts).
- Wooldridge ES: Reduced from 51% to 33% (-18 pts).
- Wooten ES: Reduced from 29% to 11% (-18 pts).
- Joslin ES: Reduced from 48% to 30% (-18 pts).



- Odom ES: Reduced from 40% to 23% (-17 pts).
- Oak Hill ES: Reduced from 35% to 18% (-17 pts).

High-Performing Growth Leaders (15 to 16-Point Drops)

- Oak Springs ES: Reduced from 80% to 64% (-16 pts).
- Pecan Springs ES: Reduced from 49% to 33% (-16 pts).
- T.A. Brown ES: Reduced from 53% to 38% (-15 pts).
- Ortega ES: Reduced from 47% to 32% (-15 pts).
- Overton ES: Reduced from 52% to 37% (-15 pts).
- Perez ES: Reduced from 42% to 27% (-15 pts).
- McBee ES: Reduced from 33% to 18% (-15 pts).
- Pleasant Hill ES: Reduced from 36% to 21% (-15 pts).
- Travis Heights ES: Reduced from 32% to 17% (-15 pts).
- Brentwood ES: Reduced from 18% to 3% (-15 pts).

These campuses demonstrated accelerated early literacy, recognizing how strong Tier 1 foundational literacy systems and data-informed interventions led to dramatic reductions in intervention needs. Across bands they are closing equity gaps at schools like Sanchez, Wooldridge, Rodriguez, and Pecan Springs for driving double-digit gains among Economically Disadvantaged and Emergent Bilingual student populations. Many are demonstrating sustained progress with teams that cut their intervention rates by half or more over the course of the school year, including Sanchez, Wooten, Mathews, Pillow, and Brentwood.



2nd Grade Students NWEA MAP Growth Reading Achievement Percentile (2025 NWEA Norms), Spring 2026

The percentage of 2nd-grade students scoring in the recommended-for-intervention level on the Spring 2026 NWEA MAP Reading Achievement Score Proficiency Indicator (below 30th percentile in English and below 40th percentile in Spanish)

District GPM data at the campus-level. Table that shows the all student category and student subgroups for the current data point only. Organized by the [SRI](#) band system. Name data by GPM, current year/progress time period, and campus level.

School	Total % BOY	Total % MOY	Total % EOY	% A. Indian	% Asian BOY	% Asian MOY	% Asian EOY	% AA BOY	% AA MOY	% AA EOY	% His BOY	% His MOY	% His EOY	% Pac. Islander	% 2 or More BOY	% 2 or More MOY	% 2 or More EOY	% White BOY	% White MOY	% White EOY	% EcD BOY	% EcD MOY	% EcD EOY	% Em Bil BOY	% Em Bil MOY	% Em Bil EOY	% Sped BOY	% Sped MOY	% Sped EOY
Andrews ES	45%	35%	37%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	46%	38%	42%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	37%	38%	41%	46%	39%	39%	*	*	71%
Barrington ES	34%	29%	33%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	36%	31%	36%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	32%	28%	33%	35%	31%	33%	71%	45%	73%
Brown ES	53%	42%	38%	-	40%	*	*	*	*	*	51%	40%	39%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%	41%	36%	55%	45%	41%	*	38%	36%
Cook ES	29%	26%	39%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	29%	28%	40%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	29%	26%	37%	27%	24%	35%	80%	43%	71%
Dawson ES	48%	35%	43%	*	-	-	-	*	*	*	56%	43%	45%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	61%	41%	55%	50%	20%	*	40%	38%	57%
Galindo ES	44%	39%	33%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%	40%	36%	-	-	-	*	29%	29%	14%	46%	41%	35%	26%	42%	22%	56%	52%	60%
Govalle ES	46%	31%	40%	-	-	-	-	89%	56%	56%	38%	26%	38%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	50%	31%	43%	44%	28%	50%	75%	39%	67%
Harris ES	50%	31%	43%	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	53%	33%	47%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	61%	34%	47%	55%	31%	42%	67%	50%	58%
Hart ES	35%	31%	26%	-	43%	0%	33%	*	*	*	35%	32%	23%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36%	33%	27%	37%	24%	20%	73%	53%	38%
Houston ES	41%	29%	40%	-	-	-	-	80%	*	80%	36%	29%	38%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	47%	27%	44%	30%	28%	33%	43%	19%	46%
Jordan ES	46%	49%	47%	-	-	-	*	63%	50%	55%	39%	50%	46%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	45%	47%	46%	38%	44%	38%	89%	89%	89%
Langford ES	47%	43%	45%	-	-	-	*	*	*	*	49%	45%	49%	-	*	*	-	-	*	-	46%	44%	47%	40%	38%	38%	57%	69%	80%



School	Total % BOY	Total % MOY	Total % EOY	% A. India n	% Asian BOY	% Asian MOY	% Asian EOY	% AA BOY	% AA MOY	% AA EOY	% His BOY	% His MOY	% His EOY	% Pac. Island er	% 2 or More BOY	% 2 or More MOY	% 2 or More EOY	% White BOY	% White MOY	% White EOY	% EcD BOY	% EcD MOY	% EcD EOY	% Em Bil BOY	% Em Bil MOY	% Em Bil EOY	% Sped BOY	% Sped MOY	% Sped EOY
Linder ES	47%	30%	41%	-	*	*	*	38%	55%	44%	47%	28%	43%	-	*	*	*	*	*	0%	50%	30%	42%	56%	23%	44%	60%	42%	70%
Norman-S ims ES	49%	43%	45%	-	*	*	*	53%	53%	47%	44%	35%	58%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	55%	42%	49%	50%	35%	67%	14%	44%	40%
Oak Springs ES	80%	77%	64%	-	-	-	-	91%	67%	58%	75%	92%	73%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	82%	77%	64%	*	*	*	*	88%	88%
Ortega ES	47%	38%	32%	-	-	-	-	43%	60%	*	48%	36%	36%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	50%	35%	32%	50%	44%	31%	56%	50%	55%
Overton ES	52%	38%	37%	*	-	-	-	17%	29%	43%	54%	37%	33%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	50%	42%	38%	50%	41%	29%	71%	36%	64%
Padron ES	43%	40%	30%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	42%	42%	29%	-	*	*	-	*	-	-	37%	42%	30%	44%	44%	29%	64%	47%	27%
Pecan Springs ES	49%	31%	33%	-	-	-	-	75%	57%	50%	40%	23%	26%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	52%	32%	35%	33%	19%	13%	75%	33%	27%
Perez ES	42%	31%	27%	-	-	-	-	*	*	-	43%	31%	27%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	44%	31%	29%	44%	28%	19%	36%	37%	57%
Pickle ES	36%	20%	26%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	39%	22%	28%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	37%	20%	24%	40%	19%	25%	55%	38%	38%
Rodriguez ES	50%	53%	32%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	51%	58%	34%	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	48%	55%	32%	56%	56%	21%	43%	63%	55%
Sanchez ES	50%	26%	25%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	51%	25%	24%	-	*	*	*	-	-	-	53%	26%	26%	52%	27%	23%	*	*	50%
Walnut Creek ES	31%	40%	30%	-	*	*	-	*	*	*	27%	39%	28%	-	-	-	-	50%	40%	*	25%	40%	34%	20%	33%	31%	*	43%	56%
Widen ES	45%	24%	37%	-	-	-	-	*	20%	20%	46%	25%	40%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	62%	26%	39%	45%	19%	30%	63%	33%	57%
Winn Montesso ri	31%	32%	33%	-	*	*	*	60%	60%	*	38%	39%	42%	-	*	*	*	9%	9%	9%	45%	48%	47%	43%	47%	48%	50%	62%	53%
Wooldrid	51%	49%	33%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	52%	49%	31%	-	*	*	*	-	-	-	56%	48%	31%	51%	50%	33%	80%	50%	42%



School	Total % BOY	Total % MOY	Total % EOY	% A. Indian	% Asian BOY	% Asian MOY	% Asian EOY	% AA BOY	% AA MOY	% AA EOY	% His BOY	% His MOY	% His EOY	% Pac. Islander	% 2 or More BOY	% 2 or More MOY	% 2 or More EOY	% White BOY	% White MOY	% White EOY	% EcD BOY	% EcD MOY	% EcD EOY	% Em Bil BOY	% Em Bil MOY	% Em Bil EOY	% Sped BOY	% Sped MOY	% Sped EOY
ge ES																													
Wooten ES	29%	16%	11%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	24%	17%	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29%	16%	9%	17%	23%	12%	56%	44%	9%
Allison ES	24%	40%	50%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	24%	41%	50%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	27%	44%	53%	11%	35%	42%	*	50%	75%
Blackshear ES	35%	41%	42%	-	*	*	*	44%	67%	44%	33%	40%	60%	-	*	*	*	33%	17%	20%	33%	53%	50%	*	*	*	40%	60%	83%
Campbell ES	25%	7%	11%	-	*	*	*	9%	9%	8%	40%	0%	11%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	39%	11%	17%	*	*	*	33%	13%	11%
Graham ES	40%	35%	42%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	38%	34%	44%	-	-	*	*	*	*	*	52%	41%	47%	44%	41%	52%	80%	76%	65%
Guerrero-Thompson ES	39%	26%	21%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%	26%	21%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	41%	25%	22%	42%	22%	22%	50%	70%	33%
McBee ES	33%	26%	38%	-	-	-	-	*	*	-	34%	25%	38%	-	-	-	-	*	*	-	34%	26%	38%	38%	26%	45%	20%	33%	50%
Odom ES	40%	30%	28%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	42%	33%	29%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	44%	34%	33%	62%	45%	45%	45%	27%	31%
Palm ES	29%	26%	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29%	26%	17%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	47%	29%	19%	42%	40%	25%	40%	35%	29%
Pillow ES	25%	23%	23%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	28%	19%	21%	-	*	*	*	8%	25%	30%	16%	29%	27%	17%	17%	16%	*	*	*
Pleasant Hill ES	36%	21%	11%	*	*	*	*	0%	29%	17%	44%	19%	11%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	29%	20%	13%	53%	19%	15%	40%	20%	9%
St Elmo ES	26%	27%	29%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	30%	31%	30%	-	-	-	-	20%	10%	10%	38%	34%	36%	33%	32%	33%	38%	50%	64%
Zavala ES	54%	54%	42%	-	-	-	-	60%	*	*	56%	53%	44%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	57%	55%	45%	20%	40%	17%	83%	71%	56%
Blanton ES	26%	18%	22%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%	31%	36%	-	*	*	*	12%	6%	6%	54%	38%	47%	40%	35%	44%	50%	40%	53%



School	Total % BOY	Total % MOY	Total % EOY	% A. Indian	% Asian BOY	% Asian MOY	% Asian EOY	% AA BOY	% AA MOY	% AA EOY	% His BOY	% His MOY	% His EOY	% Pac. Islander	% 2 or More BOY	% 2 or More MOY	% 2 or More EOY	% White BOY	% White MOY	% White EOY	% EcD BOY	% EcD MOY	% EcD EOY	% Em Bil BOY	% Em Bil MOY	% Em Bil EOY	% Sped BOY	% Sped MOY	% Sped EOY
Blazier ES	26%	16%	16%	-	20%	20%	20%	41%	16%	24%	22%	17%	16%	-	17%	0%	0%	37%	16%	18%	33%	19%	14%	24%	21%	20%	29%	22%	28%
Boone ES	25%	20%	13%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33%	26%	22%	-	*	*	*	22%	19%	8%	35%	33%	28%	17%	17%	0%	30%	15%	25%
Casey ES	20%	13%	10%	-	*	*	*	50%	20%	0%	20%	16%	15%	-	*	*	*	11%	0%	0%	33%	23%	16%	25%	13%	27%	20%	15%	25%
Cowan ES	28%	17%	19%	-	*	*	*	*	*	60%	38%	29%	29%	-	17%	0%	0%	21%	12%	12%	40%	39%	42%	38%	25%	25%	42%	24%	29%
Cunningham ES	15%	17%	15%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	15%	28%	23%	-	*	*	*	17%	6%	0%	17%	23%	23%	13%	13%	0%	31%	27%	21%
Davis ES	29%	21%	24%	-	10%	11%	0%	50%	17%	60%	45%	44%	43%	*	33%	29%	14%	15%	7%	12%	50%	35%	46%	45%	36%	60%	43%	35%	23%
Doss ES	11%	10%	8%	-	13%	7%	15%	*	*	*	34%	36%	28%	-	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	1%	33%	33%	28%	46%	44%	46%	33%	30%	22%
Joslin ES	48%	19%	30%	-	*	*	*	-	-	-	53%	33%	50%	-	*	*	*	54%	8%	15%	71%	31%	47%	60%	44%	63%	77%	31%	50%
Kocurek ES	42%	35%	40%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	50%	40%	48%	-	-	-	-	28%	28%	25%	44%	38%	46%	64%	42%	60%	58%	50%	65%
Menchaca ES	31%	30%	28%	-	*	*	*	80%	75%	56%	23%	24%	25%	-	0%	20%	20%	33%	27%	19%	31%	32%	33%	27%	26%	33%	36%	50%	52%
Oak Hill ES	18%	19%	18%	-	*	*	*	20%	20%	*	29%	31%	26%	-	0%	0%	0%	9%	9%	9%	27%	35%	27%	27%	29%	31%	44%	42%	38%
Patton ES	12%	9%	9%	-	14%	14%	14%	40%	0%	20%	16%	13%	13%	-	14%	0%	14%	5%	8%	2%	33%	23%	20%	20%	27%	17%	23%	15%	19%
Reilly ES	25%	23%	17%	-	14%	14%	0%	-	-	-	42%	39%	33%	-	0%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	35%	46%	35%	40%	48%	29%	67%	56%	50%
Summitt ES	15%	10%	11%	-	9%	6%	6%	14%	33%	50%	28%	16%	23%	-	10%	10%	10%	12%	5%	0%	17%	13%	13%	15%	9%	13%	22%	20%	18%
Sunset Valley ES	14%	9%	16%	-	-	-	-	*	*	*	15%	11%	18%	-	*	*	*	14%	9%	15%	28%	26%	39%	25%	21%	40%	46%	21%	53%
Travis Heights	32%	25%	17%	-	33%	33%	17%	57%	29%	29%	50%	40%	24%	-	20%	*	*	5%	10%	10%	62%	43%	24%	40%	43%	38%	77%	55%	50%



School	Total % BOY	Total % MOY	Total % EOY	% A. India n	% Asian BOY	% Asian MOY	% Asian EOY	% AA BOY	% AA MOY	% AA EOY	% His BOY	% His MOY	% His EOY	% Pac. Island er	% 2 or More BOY	% 2 or More MOY	% 2 or More EOY	% White BOY	% White MOY	% White EOY	% EcD BOY	% EcD MOY	% EcD EOY	% Em Bil BOY	% Em Bil MOY	% Em Bil EOY	% Sped BOY	% Sped MOY	% Sped EOY
ES																													
Williams ES	33%	26%	19%	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	34%	27%	23%	-	*	*	*	17%	33%	0%	36%	27%	18%	23%	42%	24%	36%	35%	39%
Baldwin ES	16%	16%	20%	-	22%	0%	11%	*	*	*	33%	25%	36%	-	0%	14%	0%	10%	16%	18%	40%	40%	58%	20%	20%	20%	20%	27%	54%
Baranoff ES	15%	9%	11%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	16%	8%	16%	-	0%	0%	0%	16%	11%	10%	12%	13%	27%	*	*	*	27%	12%	30%
Barton Hills ES	9%	5%	0%	-	*	*	*	*	*	-	20%	*	*	-	*	*	*	6%	3%	0%	60%	*	*	*	-	-	10%	0%	0%
Bear Creek ES	11%	8%	7%	*	6%	13%	14%	*	*	*	9%	4%	5%	-	0%	0%	0%	15%	9%	7%	0%	0%	17%	18%	10%	5%	26%	26%	24%
Becker ES	20%	19%	18%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	27%	22%	23%	-	0%	0%	*	13%	13%	5%	42%	35%	42%	25%	33%	22%	30%	44%	42%
Brentwoo d ES	18%	7%	3%	-	*	*	*	-	-	-	38%	19%	12%	-	9%	9%	0%	11%	3%	0%	39%	22%	11%	14%	43%	14%	56%	11%	5%
Bryker Woods ES	14%	11%	6%	*	*	*	*	-	-	-	*	*	*	-	*	*	*	15%	8%	4%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	17%
Casis ES	9%	8%	2%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	7%	13%	7%	*	17%	33%	0%	8%	4%	1%	17%	22%	10%	*	*	*	8%	15%	4%
Clayton ES	6%	2%	3%	*	8%	0%	0%	*	*	*	9%	5%	5%	-	0%	0%	0%	6%	2%	4%	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%	5%	10%
Gullett ES	13%	7%	2%	-	*	*	*	-	-	-	36%	23%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	10%	5%	3%	50%	17%	0%	*	*	*	22%	0%	9%
Highland Park ES	6%	2%	1%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	0%	7%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	7%	1%	1%	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%	4%	4%
Hill ES	10%	8%	12%	-	0%	0%	14%	*	*	*	17%	15%	18%	-	0%	0%	0%	6%	5%	6%	35%	22%	42%	38%	33%	36%	12%	14%	24%
Kiker ES	9%	8%	7%	-	8%	0%	17%	-	-	-	17%	13%	14%	-	0%	0%	0%	8%	10%	3%	10%	30%	20%	0%	0%	11%	20%	23%	21%



School	Total % BOY	Total % MOY	Total % EOY	% A. India n	% Asian BOY	% Asian MOY	% Asian EOY	% AA BOY	% AA MOY	% AA EOY	% His BOY	% His MOY	% His EOY	% Pac. Island er	% 2 or More BOY	% 2 or More MOY	% 2 or More EOY	% White BOY	% White MOY	% White EOY	% EcD BOY	% EcD MOY	% EcD EOY	% Em Bil BOY	% Em Bil MOY	% Em Bil EOY	% Sped BOY	% Sped MOY	% Sped EOY
Lee ES	10%	5%	7%	-	*	*	-	*	*	*	13%	13%	13%	-	*	*	*	9%	3%	3%	17%	20%	43%	*	*	*	14%	0%	7%
Maplewood ES	8%	3%	4%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	18%	6%	5%	-	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	3%	27%	17%	14%	*	*	*	0%	8%	7%
Mathews ES	29%	16%	10%	-	*	*	*	*	*	40%	35%	21%	11%	-	*	*	*	27%	14%	5%	43%	31%	31%	*	*	*	64%	27%	8%
Mills ES	8%	6%	5%	-	0%	7%	7%	*	*	*	9%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	10%	7%	7%	*	*	*	0%	20%	17%	17%	13%	13%
Ridgetop ES	16%	19%	21%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	23%	26%	32%	-	*	*	*	4%	9%	4%	40%	60%	82%	22%	44%	56%	40%	40%	62%
Zilker ES	8%	5%	12%	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	13%	4%	22%	-	14%	14%	14%	2%	2%	5%	15%	6%	31%	40%	20%	40%	0%	0%	40%
Austin ISD	26%	21%	20%	17%	15%	10%	13%	46%	37%	38%	35%	28%	29%	*	9%	8%	5%	12%	8%	6%	41%	33%	33%	38%	32%	31%	40%	32%	37%

*Data masked due to test count <5, - No tests for that demographic group