



Austin Independent School District Turnaround Plan

Oak Springs Elementary School

I. District Information

School System Name	Austin ISD (227901)
School Name	Oak Springs Elementary
Name of the staff member employed by the school system completing this plan submission	Cynthia Sinegal Patricia De Luna
Email	patricia.deluna@austinisd.org cynthia.sinegal@austinisd.org
What role applies to the person completing this submission?	Executive Director of Elementary Schools Principal

II. Needs Assessment

2.1 Please indicate the type of needs assessment conducted	2.2 FOR LOCALLY CONDUCTED ASSESSMENTS ONLY: I assure that all locally conducted needs assessments met the requirements in Section 39A.053 of the Texas Education Code, and the results of the local needs assessment are available upon request.
20250918_OAK SPRINGS EL_OSNA Report	Yes / No

III. Stakeholder Engagement

Guiding Question: Did the LEA meet statutory requirements for stakeholder engagement, and has the board approved the plan?

Campus Intervention Team (CIT) Members	Principal: Cynthia Sinegal DCSI: Patricia De Luna Region 13 Contact: Elizabeth Deterra
Date(s) of written notice to all public meetings	I assure that the district provided notice to parents, the community, and stakeholders that each campus received an unacceptable performance rating for two consecutive years and is required to submit a campus turnaround plan and



Include dates when 1) written notice of the public meetings were provided and 2) posted on campus website.	requested assistance from parents, the community, and stakeholders in developing the campus turnaround plan(s). Oct. 3 – Share/post Draft TAP on district & campus websites.
Dates of public meetings Include dates when 1) written notice of the public meetings were provided and 2) posted on campus website.	September 3, 2025- Staff Meeting @ 3:30 in Library September 22, 2025- Community Meeting @ 5:00 in Cafeteria Written Notice sent 9/9/2025 & 9/17/2025 Oct. 3 – Share/post Draft TAP on district & campus websites.
Initial date of TAP being posted to the district website	October 3, 2025
Date of public hearing	November 6, 2025 I assure that the board conducted a hearing regarding the plans for each campus identified for school improvement to notify the public of the insufficient performance of the campus, the improvements in performance expected by the agency, and the intervention measures or sanctions that may be imposed if the performance does not improve within a designated period, the board solicited public comment on each turnaround plan, and the board posted the plan(s) on the district website prior to the hearing.
Date of board approval	November 6, 2025
Written Comments from stakeholders	Attach here Oak Springs Turnaround Plan Survey 3UA (Responses) - Form Re

IV. Student Outcome Goals

Enter the campus-wide goal for the All Grades	Reason identified and what improvements need to be made to achieve a C. Upon the release of the 2025 State Accountability Ratings, Oak Springs has 3 consecutive unacceptable campus ratings (Fs). Campuses with two consecutive unacceptable ratings are required to write a turnaround plan (19 Tex. Admin. Code § 97.1064 (a)).
	Below is a path to achieve an acceptable (C) rating in school years SY26-28: 1. 70% of students achieve academic growth in Domain 2A, and by demographic groups evaluated in Domain 3. <and></and>



	 45% of Emergent Bilingual students will achieve composite growth or advanced high on the TELPAS assessment. <and></and> All students earn 50% of points or more on every STAAR assessment they take. (Optional, yet highly recommended) For 2025-26, Oak Springs Elementary will focus on the student growth metrics, outlined above, not specific achievement rates at meets and above. For SY2026-2028, X Oak Springs, will focus on the student growth metrics, outlined above.
Enter the campus-wide goal for the All Grades Math & Reading Meets Grade Level or Above STAAR Performance rate for the 2025-2026 school year.	In year one and two of the implementation of the campus turnaround plan, student growth will be leveraged in Domain 2A and Domain 3, in order to achieve a C rating. By year three, student academic growth should be significant enough so that student achievement in Domains 1 and 2B is at a C rating. Starting with SY 2027-28, the Domain 1 goal across all grades and subject areas would be 70% of tests at Approaches and Above, 30% of tests at Meets and above and 15% of tests at Masters. The Domain 1 goal across all grades and subject areas would be 70% of tests at Approaches and Above, 30% of tests at Meets and above and 15% of tests at Masters.
Enter the 2025-2026 Component Points campus goal for the Academic Achievement Component of Domain III.	Every group evaluated (All, Lowest performing racial/ethnic group 1, Lowest performing racial/ethnic group 2, High Focus Group) will show minimal growth in both reading and math. Component points: 4 ELA/4 Math Total 8/32
Enter the 2025-2026 Component Points campus goal for the Growth Status Component of Domain III.	Every group evaluated (All, Lowest performing racial/ethnic group 1, Lowest performing racial/ethnic group 2, High Focus Group) will meet the 2025-26 target in both reading and math Component points: 12 ELA/12 Math Total 24/32
Enter the 2025-2026 Component Points campus goal for the ELP Status Component of Domain III.	40% or more of students taking the TELPAS assessment will achieve composite growth. Component points: 3/4
Enter the 2025-2026 Component Points	Every group evaluated (All, Lowest performing racial/ethnic group 1, Lowest performing racial/ethnic group 2, High Focus Group) will show minimal growth in



campus goal for the Student Success Status	their student success score. Component score: 4/16
Component of Domain	
III.	

V. School Improvement Strategy **Guiding Question:** Is the proposed strategy likely to move the campus to a C or better OR CSI exit in two years or less?

		
What strategy or strategies will you implement for this campus?	Please write one of the following: • Closure	
Which, if any, grants has your school system been awarded to support this strategy?	N/A	
Which, if any, grants has your school system applied for (or intends to apply for) to support this strategy?	Still considering which of the following grants to apply for: LIFT LIFT PLC Support School Improvement Curriculum and Instruction Support Grant School Action Fund Planning (Restart), School Action Fund Implementation (Restart) School Action Fund Planning (Reassign) School Action Fund Implementation (Reassign) School Action Fund Implementation (Redesign) School Action Fund Planning (Create New) School Action Fund Implementation (Create New) Effective Schools Framework Focused Support Grant 24-26 Our school system has not been awarded a grant to support this strategy Other (must enter grant into text box)	
Please name any organizations you are working with to build capacity and support strategy implementation	TNTP TNTP is a national research, policy, and consulting organization focused on improving public education in the United States. The organization's mission is to ensure that every young person has access to excellent teachers and the opportunity to thrive. TNTP achieves this by working with schools and districts to recruit and train new teachers, develop talent management systems, conduct research on teacher effectiveness, and advocate for policy reforms.	
If the row above is District or Campus Staff: What are the names and roles of	If the row above is NOT an ESC or on the SAPL: Please describe the organization's or staff member's experience and TNTP - The New Teacher Project	



district and campus staff members responsible for capacity building, and what percent of their role is dedicated to supporting implementation of the school improvement strategy?		track record in school turnaround (moving a campus from failing to meet standards to meeting and/or exceeding standards).	Summary of Partnership
---	--	---	---------------------------

VIII. Closure and Reassignment

Guiding Question: Does the plan ensure that students are assigned to a higher performing campus?

Receiving Campus(es) Data

- Campus Name
- CDCN
- Most recent state accountability rating
- Current enrollment
- Max enrollment
- Distance from closing campus
- % of students from the closing campus that will be assigned to this campus
- % of teachers from the closing campus that will be assigned to this campus
- % of administrators from the closing campus that will be assigned to this campus

Campus 1: Blackshear Elementary

- CDCN # 227901105
- C Rating
- Current Enrollment # 226
- Max Enrollment
- Distance from closing campus-1.2 miles
- % of students from the closing campus to be assigned 100%
- % of teachers from the closing campus that will be assigned to this campus
- % of administrators from the closing campus that will be assigned to this campus

•



Please select the adopted curriculum for each receiving campus. Is this the curriculum that will be implemented for the duration of the plan?	K-5 Reading: HMH Into Reading/Arriba la lectura K-5 Math: STEMscopes Math K-5 Social Studies: Studies Weekly K-5 Science: McGraw Hill Texas Science Yes
If No above, what new curriculum will be adopted?	Not Applicable.
When will the district adopt the new curriculum?	Not Applicable.
How will the district ensure that each campus will meet the minimum instructional minutes required to implement the curriculum?	The district will ensure compliance through multiple aligned systems. Campuses are required to follow the 2025–26 Master Schedule Guidelines, the Elementary Essential Areas Standards of Service, and the Elementary Instructional Minutes Guidance when designing their schedules. To support implementation, the district provided professional development during summer 2025 on expectations for detailed and posted schedules. Ongoing monitoring will occur through principal supervisors and campus instructional leadership teams, who will conduct classroom observations and instructional rounds to verify adherence and provide support where adjustments are needed.

XII. Capacity Building **Guiding Question:** Does the plan ensure that administrators and teachers receive appropriate job-embedded training?

training?	
Campus Principal Name	Cynthia Sinegal
Years of experience as a campus principal	10
Campus principal turnaround experience	6
Principal Manager name	Patricia De Luna
Years of experience as a Principal manager	4
Principal manager turn around experience	5





- % of teachers on the campus who:
- -have more than 2 years experience
- -are certified
- -are TIA designated teachers
- -have more than 2 years experience: 39%
- -are certified: 57%
- -are TIA designated teachers: 0%

Please describe your planned training/PD sessions (and who delivers and attends) for:

- -Principal manager
- -Principal
- -Other campus admin (assistant principals, instructional coaches)
- -Teachers

Lighthouse Schools:

School Leader Professional Learning and Embedded Coaching Supports:

TNTP will provide school leaders (principal, assistant principal, instructional coach) with opportunities to develop their instructional leadership skills including understanding the district-adopted literacy and math curriculum, leading instructional rounds, and providing teachers with high-quality coaching through observation & feedback cycles. This development will occur biweekly, in alignment with the Learning Lab model.

District Wide PD led by Academics team and TNTP:

Monthly Principal Session: HQIM Implementation and MTSS Monthly AP Session: HQIM Implementation and MTSS Monthly IC Session: HQIM Implementation and MTSS

Progress Monitoring:

TNTP will support the district in monitoring progress toward literacy and math goals through formal classroom observations. TNTP will collect and analyze the progress monitoring data and facilitate two continuous improvement sessions to identify trends, guide strategy, and strengthen (Bi)Literacy instruction and math across the district and facilitate Continuous Improvement sessions for district leaders.

Learning Labs:

As Austin ISD and TNTP seek to expand the Lighthouse model in 2025-2026, all selected Lighthouse Schools will serve as sites for Learning Labs. To accelerate instructional leadership and improve student outcomes, Learning Labs are grounded in the belief that effective leader development must be strategic, consistent, and sequenced over time. Peer and cohort learning play a critical role in sustainable growth by fostering collaboration, shared problem-solving, and the exchange of best practices among leaders facing similar challenges. Differentiating leader development based on individual needs and school contexts ensures that learning is relevant, actionable, and responsive to each community's unique priorities. By engaging leaders in practical, real-time learning experiences within similar contexts and providing immediate opportunities to apply new skills, this approach not only deepens professional growth but also creates direct, positive impacts for students in their classrooms and school communities. Leaders participating in monthly Learning



Labs will be supported with skill and knowledge building, authentic practice, and pre and post work.

Executive Director Professional Learning:

TNTP proposes a comprehensive approach to developing executive directors through monthly professional learning sessions and ongoing wraparound support. These sessions will focus on equipping executive directors to lead consistent cycles of support for their principals, grounded in data-driven coaching conversations, frequent instructional observations, and accountability for student outcomes. By building skills that are applicable across all content areas and grade levels, this learning will strengthen executive directors' ability to drive instructional leadership, support principal growth, and ensure every school maintains a clear focus on improving teaching and learning.

Curriculum-Based Professional Learning:

Teachers participated in professional learning sessions delivered by the academics department based on HQIM and the district adopted instructional resources. In literacy, math, and science, all K-5 teachers attended content area sessions during August teacher inservice days where they received in person learning on using HQIM, RBIS, and had opportunities to lesson prep and lesson practice with their teams. In September, teachers participated in a required session regarding PLC data protocols using beginning of year screening data and curriculum-based assessment data. In November, teachers will receive various sessions regarding Tier 2 and Tier 3 district adopted instructional materials for reading and math, including refreshers on the MTSS process for identifying students for interventions and progress monitoring students who are receiving interventions. Additional sessions regarding HQIM and RBIS will be ongoing during district and campus designated professional learning days per the AISD calendar (November, January, and April)

How will you differentiate training for inexperienced (less than 2 years in role) and/or ineffective teachers/leaders?

Lighthouse Schools:

Teacher Coaching:

Throughout the school year, select teachers will receive biweekly one-on-one coaching with a TNTP staff member. These coaching sessions will focus on the effective implementation of high-quality instructional materials to ensure students receive systematic, explicit instruction and practice in foundational skills, both in and out of context. Additionally, teachers will be coached on delivering strong, comprehension-based lessons using high-quality texts to help students build knowledge. Teachers will also develop a deep understanding of best practices for center implementation, effective small-group instruction, and differentiation of materials.

What tool will be used to evaluate implementation of the training (for example, classroom

MTSS Literacy Walkthrough Tool

The AISD Literacy Classroom Walk Through tool is designed to support instruction in both Tier I and Tiers II & III to align with AISD's definition of excellent (bi)literacy instruction. Instructional leaders should use this form when





walkthrough tool, leadership coaching tools)? What look fors will be included in this tool? observing in K-5 literacy classrooms and intervention. Note that there are separate indicators for Foundational Skills and Reading Comprehension as instruction should look very different in these settings.

MTSS Math Walkthrough Tool

The AISD MTSS Math Classroom Walk Through tool is designed to support instruction in both Tier 1 and Tiers 2 & 3 to align with AISD's definition of excellent math instruction. Instructional leaders should use this form when observing in K-5 math classrooms and intervention. Note that there are separate indicators for Numeracy Skills and Core Math Instruction as instruction should look different in these settings.

PLC Leader Feedback Tool

This form is used to plan, observe, and give feedback on PLC meetings ensuring they are well-organized, focused on learning goals, and centered on instruction, collaboration, and data analysis. It guides reflection, supports actionable next steps, and helps leaders provide feedback that strengthens teaching and improves student outcomes.

Critical Deliverables Review and Feedback

This form is used to track campus progress on key instructional leadership deliverables such as core calendars, PLC planning, coaching caseloads, and instructional rounds and to provide targeted feedback and next steps to ensure consistent implementation and improved teaching and learning outcomes.

Principal Supervisors will observe campus PLCs and gather data on this tool to guide support at the district level.

How frequently will each teacher receive an observation from an instructional leader or coach, and receive feedback? Teachers will receive observation and feedback from administration and leadership team on a weekly basis

What capacity building supports related to supporting students in special populations will teachers and administrators receive?

Monthly Professional Learning Community (PLC) Focus for Special Education Teachers

In addition to one virtual PLC required for all special education teachers, case managers will attend another PLC dedicated to high quality IEP development and high leverage practices.

 Standards-Based IEP Development (SB IEP): Special Education teachers will receive intensive, data-driven training on the SB IEP process. The focus will be on utilizing comprehensive data to write high-quality, measurable Present Levels of Academic Achievement and

- Functional Performance (PLAAFPs) statements and goals that are rigorously aligned with grade-level TEKS. Training will be guided by the Austin ISD IEP Rubric and the TEA Cyclical Monitoring Guide Rubric.
- Explicit Instructional Practices (SDI): Special Education teachers will
 receive sustained professional development on high-leverage
 instructional strategies and the principles of Explicit Instruction to ensure
 that Specially Designed Instruction (SDI) is delivered systematically and
 with fidelity across all settings. This will significantly strengthen the
 campus's overall Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) and will revisit
 concepts from TEA Tier Learn coursework.

Ongoing Administrative Support for Principals

Monthly individualized touchpoints for principals (led by the special education campus support coordinators) will ensure high-level oversight and compliance in the following areas:

- Scheduling Services for Students with Disabilities: Review best practices for creating master schedules that prioritize LRE and maximize student access to high-quality Specially Designed Instruction (SDI) within general education settings.
- Building and Enhancing Family Engagement: Strategies for fostering collaborative partnerships with families of students receiving special education services to improve outcomes.
- Federal and State Compliance Requirements: Focus on maintaining timely ARD meetings (annual, initial, and re-evaluation meetings), ensuring all services for students with disabilities are appropriately scheduled and delivered.
- Additional Focus on Monitoring Results Driven Accountability (RDA):
 Prioritization of student performance and appropriate placement.
 - Academic Outcomes: Monitor and analyze data on the academic progress and achievement for students with disabilities, particularly in math, reading, and science, to ensure continuous improvement and closing of achievement gaps.
 - Reduction in Out-of-School Placements: Review current placement data and strategies to ensure students are being educated in the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE). Develop and implement strategies to increase inclusion and reduce instances of disciplinary or instructional removals to settings outside the general education campus.

XIII. Milestones

Guiding Question: How will the strategy be implemented?

Date	Key Milestones (minimum: through the end of SY 27-28)
------	---



June - August 2025	Summer professional learning: Detailed posted schedules Leadership roles & responsibilities (core calendar, coaching caseload, observation & feedback tracker) Professional learning plans (PLC protocols, ILT protocols, 1:1 meetings, and instructional rounds protocols)
September	Engagement & Communication Principal coffee CAC Meetings Back to School Night Assessments Weekly assessments Professional Learning District Staff Development Campus Staff Development LightHouse Learning Labs Progress Monitoring CST meetings Campus & Classroom Visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning Students will be moving to Blackshear Elementary
October	Engagement & Communication Principal Coffee CAC Meetings Open House Parent Conferences Assessments Grades 3-5 ELA & 5th grade math and science CBA Weekly assessments Professional Learning Lighthouse learning Labs Progress Monitoring CST meetings Campus and classroom visits and walkthroughs District Instructional Rounds Transition Planning Community notice will go out on Campus Closure School Consolidation Open House
November	Engagement & Communication • Principal Coffee • CAC Meetings Assessments • Grades 3-4 Math CBA & 5th grade Science and 3rd-5th ELA CBA • STAAR Interim



	Professional Learning Lighthouse Learning Labs District Staff Development Progress Monitoring CST Meetings Campus and classroom visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning School Consolidation Open House
December	Engagement & Communication Principal Coffee PTA Meetings CAC Meetings Assessments MAP Growth MOY Weekly assessments Grades 3-5 Math CBA Professional Learning Lighthouse Learning Labs Progress Monitoring CST Meetings Campus and Classroom visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning Recommendation of campus principal
January	Engagement & Communication Principal Coffee PTA Meetings CAC Meetings Assessments Weekly assessments Grades 3rd-5th ELA CBA 4th Math and & 5th Science CBA Professional Learning Lighthouse Learning Labs District and & Campus staff development Progress Monitoring CST Meetings Campus and Classroom visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning
February	Engagement & Communication Principal Coffee PTA Meetings CAC Meetings Assessments Weekly assessments Grades 3rd-5th Math and & 5th Science CBA



	 STAAR Interim Professional Learning Lighthouse Learning Labs District and & Campus staff development Progress Monitoring CST Meetings Campus and Classroom visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning
March	Engagement & Communication Principal Coffee PTA Meetings CAC Meetings Assessments Weekly assessments Professional Learning Lighthouse Learning Labs Progress Monitoring CST Meetings Campus and Classroom visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning
April	Engagement & Communication Principal Coffee PTA Meetings CAC Meetings Assessments Weekly assessments State STAAR testing Professional Learning Lighthouse Learning Labs District and & Campus staff development Progress Monitoring CST Meetings Campus and Classroom visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning
May	Engagement & Communication Principal Coffee PTA Meetings CAC Meetings Assessments Weekly assessments MAP Growth EOY Professional Learning Lighthouse Learning Labs District and & Campus staff development



June - August 2026	Progress Monitoring
September 2026	Engagement & Communication Principal coffee CAC Meetings Back to School Night Assessments Weekly assessments MAP Growth BOY CBA assessments Professional Learning District Staff Development Campus Staff Development LightHouse Learning Labs Progress Monitoring CST meetings Campus & Classroom Visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning Students will be moving to Blackshear Elementary
October 2026	Engagement & Communication Principal coffee CAC Meetings Assessments Weekly assessments CBA assessments Professional Learning District Staff Development Campus Staff Development LightHouse Learning Labs Progress Monitoring CST meetings Campus & Classroom Visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning Students will be moving to Blackshear Elementary



November 2026	Engagement & Communication Principal coffee CAC Meetings Assessments Weekly assessments STAAR Interim assessments CBA assessments Professional Learning District Staff Development Campus Staff Development LightHouse Learning Labs Progress Monitoring CST meetings Campus & Classroom Visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning Students will be moving to Blackshear Elementary
December 2026	Engagement & Communication
January 2027	Engagement & Communication Principal coffee CAC Meetings Assessments Weekly assessments CBA assessments Professional Learning District Staff Development Campus Staff Development LightHouse Learning Labs Progress Monitoring CST meetings



	 Campus & Classroom Visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning Students will be moving to Blackshear Elementary
February 2027	Engagement & Communication Principal coffee CAC Meetings Assessments Weekly assessments STAAR Interim CBA assessments Professional Learning District Staff Development Campus Staff Development LightHouse Learning Labs Progress Monitoring CST meetings Campus & Classroom Visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning Students will be moving to Blackshear Elementary
March 2027	Engagement & Communication Principal coffee CAC Meetings Back to School Night Assessments Weekly assessments CBA assessments Professional Learning District Staff Development Campus Staff Development LightHouse Learning Labs Progress Monitoring CST meetings Campus & Classroom Visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning Students will be moving to Blackshear Elementary
April 2027	Engagement & Communication Principal coffee CAC Meetings Assessments Weekly assessments STAAR assessment Professional Learning District Staff Development Campus Staff Development



	 LightHouse Learning Labs Progress Monitoring CST meetings Campus & Classroom Visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning Students will be moving to Blackshear Elementary
May 2026	Engagement & Communication Principal coffee CAC Meetings Assessments Weekly assessments MAP Growth EOY Professional Learning District Staff Development Campus Staff Development LightHouse Learning Labs Progress Monitoring CST meetings Campus & Classroom Visits and walkthroughs Transition Planning Students will be moving to Blackshear Elementary

XIV. Performance Management

Guiding Question: Has the district outlined a performance management plan that ensures the strategy will be implemented with fidelity?

Please describe how district and campus leaders will monitor the successful implementation of this plan.	District and campus leaders will monitor assessment results of universal screeners, curriculum based assessments, and STAAR Interim assessments. Principal supervisors will visit the campus weekly to observe instruction, PLCs, and ILTs and monitor meeting agenda record and observation and feedback trackers with principals. TNTP will collect progress monitoring data in the fall, winter, and spring on the implementation of HOIM as intended.
Who will be responsible for reviewing progress towards the milestones described in the previous section?	Principal Principal supervisors/DCSI Assistant Superintendent
How frequently will progress toward milestones be reviewed?	Bi-Weekly



How will milestone progress data be collected?	Completion notes and artifacts will be linked in the shared campus TAP folder.
How will milestone progress data be shared with district leadership and other relevant stakeholders?	Completion notes and artifacts will be linked in the shared campus TAP folder.

XV. Resources

Guiding Question: Has the district identified all resources needed to implement the SI strategy?

Please share the required costs to implement plan and source of funds	 Staff: assistant principal, instructional coach (2), instructional coach behavior (1), content interventionist (2), teacher assistant, counselor (2), academic coaching specialist (shared) Modified staffing formula
If the strategy is contingent on a grant funding source, what is your alternative funding or implementation plan if you do not receive the grant? How would your district still support and execute this strategy?	Local funds Title I funds

XVI: Additional Info

(Optional) Please share any additional information about your strategy that was not included in the prior sections. You may also upload documents.	 Key Implementation Strategies/Supports 2025-2026: Partner with TNTP to increase campus leaders' instructional leadership capacity through the Lighthouse & Learning Lab professional development and coaching program. Utilize leadership core calendars, leadership team meeting protocols, instructional rounds, coaching caseloads, observation and feedback trackers, one to one coaching, and hands on professional learning and application to build instructional leadership capacity. Provide monthly professional development to campus leaders, coaches, and interventionists on HQIM resources and implementation. Provide weekly to biweekly observation and feedback alongside



- increasing frequency for uncertified teachers.
 Provide coaching visits with principals and supervisors weekly, and increase frequency for novice leaders.
 Follow a posted, detailed, daily schedule that maximizes instructional time and allows for PLC meetings twice a week, to plan for instruction and respond to student outcome data.





Appendix

TEA's 2025-2026 Intervention Guidance & Tools

Turnaround Plan Submission Timeline SY 2025-2026



Submission Deadline

•District submits its initial turnaround plan by November 14, 2025.

Initial Notificaiton

•TEA will notify the district of plan approval or initial rejection with feedback if revisions are needed by January 16,2026.

Final Resubmission Deadline

•If the plan was rejected the district must submit a revised plan addressing TEA's concerns by March 17, 2026.

Final TEA Notification

•TEA issues a final decision on the revised plan (approval or rejection) by April 1, 2026.

Governance Action Initiated bythe Commissioner

> • If the turnaround plan is rejected and the district does not submit an acceptable revised plan, or if the campus continues to underperform, the Commissioner may inititiate governance interventions.