Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: PEREZ EL Campus ID: 227901190 District Name: AUSTIN ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability

STAAR Percent A					African American I				Asian			Special		ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
					,	,			•	,							
Grade 3 Reading	2016 2015		74% 76%	62% 72%	100%	58% 74%	*	*	- -	- -	*	*	62% 71%	55% 75%	67% 80%	58% 63%	- -
Mathematics	2016 2015		76% 75%	70% 73%	*	69% 76%	*	*	-	- -	*	*	71% 71%	64% 80%	65% 74%	75% 71%	- -
Grade 4																	
Reading	2016 2015		74% 73%	71% 63%	*	73% 64%	*	-	- *	-	*	* 63%	70% 59%	71% 58%	77% 69%	65% 57%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015		73% 73%	69% 67%	*	72% 67%	* 100%	-	- *	-	*	*	67% 63%	77% 70%	74% 76%	63% 58%	-
Writing	2016 2015		71% 69%	71% 59%	*	72% 58%	*	-	- *	-	*	*	70% 56%	69% 58%	80% 71%	61% 47%	-
Grade 5 Reading	2016 2015		82% 85%	73% 81%	* 100%	73% 81%	* 64%	*	*	- -	-	45% *	70% 79%	66% 72%	78% 87%	68% 76%	- -
Mathematics	2016 2015		86% 78%	79% 61%	*	79% 63%	* 55%	*	*	- -	-	55% *	77% 59%	82% 55%	90% 57%	70% 64%	- -
Science	2016 2015		75% 70%	66% 60%	* 60%	64% 60%	100% 55%	* -	*	- -	-	*	64% 58%	67% 47%	67% 58%	65% 61%	- -
All Grades																	
All Subjects	2016 2015		74% 73%	70% 67%	67% 49%	70% 68%	83% 70%	*	*	-	*	31% 33%	69% 65%	68% 65%	74% 72%	65% 62%	-
Reading	2016 2015		72% 73%	68% 72%	75% 62%	67% 73%	88% 75%	*	*	-	*	26% 43%	67% 71%	63% 69%	74% 79%	63% 66%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015		75% 72%	72% 67%	56% 33%	73% 69%	75% 70%	*	*	- -	*	37% 33%	71% 65%	73% 69%	75% 69%	69% 65%	- -
Writing	2016 2015		68% 66%	71% 59%	*	72% 58%	*	-	- *	- -	*	*	70% 56%	69% 58%	80% 71%	61% 47%	- -
Science	2016 2015		79% 76%	66% 60%	* 60%	64% 60%	100% 55%	*	*	-	-	*	64% 58%	67% 47%	67% 58%	65% 61%	-
STAAR Percent at	Final	Level	II or Ab	oove													
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		46% 42%	35% 29%	26% 24%	36% 29%	43% 30%	*	*	-	*	15% 15%	34% 26%	36% 26%	37% 33%	33% 25%	-
Reading	2016 2015		46% 44%	34% 33%	25% 33%	34% 33%	50% 35%	*	*	-	*	11% 14%	32% 30%	35% 27%	37% 37%	30% 29%	-
Mathematics	2016 2015		44% 39%	36% 32%	25% 19%	36% 33%	50% 25%	*	*	-	*	17% 24%	34% 30%	34% 30%	38% 37%	34% 27%	-
Writing	2016 2015		43% 33%	43% 19%	*	45% 17%	*	-	- *	-	*	*	41% 15%	51% 23%	46% 24%	39% 13%	-
Science	2016	44%	50%	31%	*	30%	40%	*	*	-	-	*	31%	29%	26%	35%	-

													_						
2		State I 40%	Distri 45%			African merican 20%	Hispan 14%	ic White	Ameri Indi		\sian -	Pacific Islander -		•		ELL 11%	Female 19%	Male N 14%	/ligrant -
STAAR Percent at Lo	evel	III Adv	ance	ed															
All Grades																			
All Subjects 2		17% 14%	21% 19%		3% 1%	0% 3%	14% 12%	13% 11%	*		*	-	*	2% 4%	12% 10%	14% 11%	15% 13%	11% 10%	-
•		16% 15%	21% 21%		4% 6%	0% 5%	15% 17%	13% 20%	*		*	-	*	0% 0%	13% 15%	15% 14%	18% 18%	9% 14%	-
		17% 14%	21% 17%		4% 2%	0% 5%	14% 13%	13% 5%	*		*	-	*	6% 10%	12% 10%	14% 11%	17% 13%	11% 11%	-
•	016 015	14% 8%	17% 10%		3% 2%	*	14% 2%	*	-		*	-	*	*	11% 1%	15% 4%	15% 4%	10% 0%	-
		15% 14%	20% 19%		0% 6%	* 0%	9% 6%	20% 9%	*		*	- -	-	*	10% 5%	11% 6%	2% 6%	16% 6%	-
STAAR Participation	ı (All	Grade	es)																
All Tests		20 ⁻		99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	92% 100%	100% 100%		* - 0% -	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%			
Reading		20° 20°		99% 99%	99% 98%	99% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	89% 100%	* 100%	6 1C	* - 0% -	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%			
Mathematics		20° 20°		100% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	89% 100%	* 100%	6 1C	* - 10% -	* 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%			
Writing		20° 20°		99% 99%	99% 99%	99% 100%	100% 100%	99% 100%	* 100%	-	10		*	100% 100%	99% 100%	100% 100%			
Science		20 ⁻		99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%	*		* -	-	100% 100%	100% 100%	100% 100%			
STAAR Participation	n Res	sults b	y As:	sessm	nent Typ	oe for St	tudents	Served	in Spe	cial E	duca	tion Sett	ings (Al	II Grade	es)				
Reading Tests % of Participants	/ith N		2016	98%	96%	100%	*	100%	*	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
% STAAR/EOC W Accommodations % STAAR/EOC W			2016	13%	6%	9%	*	10%	*	-	-	-	-	9%	9%	0%	27%	0%	-
Accommodations		2	2016	73%	80%	83%	*	90%	*	-	-	-	-	83%	82%	100%	64%	92%	-
% STAAR Alterna			2016	11%			*	0%	*	-	-	-	-	9%	9%	0%	9%	8%	-
% of Non-Participar Mathematics Tests	nts	2	2016	2%	4%	0%	*	0%	*	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	-
% of Participants % STAAR/EOC W	/ith N	lo	2016					100%	*	-	-	-	-	100%			100%	100%	-
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC W	/ith		2016			9%	*	10%	*	-	-	-	-	9%	9%	0%	27%	0%	-
Accommodations	4 - 0		2016				*	90%	*	-	-	-	-	83%	82%	100%		92%	-
% STAAR Alterna % of Non-Participar			2016 2016	12% 1%		9% 0%	*	0% 0%	*	-	-	-	-	9% 0%	9% 0%	0% 0%	9% 0%	8% 0%	-
70 01 11011 1 undolpai			-5.0	1 /0	2/0	J /0		J / U			_			J /0	3 /0	J /0	J /0	5 /0	

^{&#}x27;*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

^{&#}x27;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

	All Studen	African tsAmerican	Hispanic	White	American Indian		Pacific Islander				ELL I (Current & Monitored)			Total Eligible	
Performance Status - State State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	00 / ₀	00 /6	00 / ₀	00 /6	00 /0	00 /6	00 /0	00 /6	00 / ₀	00 /8 N	00 / ₀		4	5	80
Mathematics	Ϋ́		Ϋ́						Ý	N	Ϋ́		4	5	80
Writing	Ϋ́		Ϋ́						Ý		Ϋ́		4	4	100
Science	Ý		Ý						Ý		Ý		4	4	100
Social Studies	•		•						•		•		0	0	
Total													16	18	89
Performance Status - Federa	ı														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N		N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N		N	n/a			
Mathematics	N		N		n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν		N	n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ		Υ						Υ	Υ		Υ	5	5	100
Mathematics	Υ		Υ						Υ	Υ		Υ	5	5	100
Total													10	10	100
Federal Graduation Status (T Graduation Target Met	arget: Se	e Reason Co	des)										0	0	
Reason Code *** Total													0	0	
District: Met Federal Limits o Reading	n Alterna	ative Assessn	nents												
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Total															
Overall Total													26	28	93

⁺ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS) *** Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90% c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10° b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current)
Performance Rates												
Reading	209	11	187	7	*	*		*	191	0	110	2/2
# at Level II Satisfactory	209	11	107	,			-		191	8	110	n/a
Standard	200	40	070	0	*	*		*	075	00	407	400
Total Tests	296	12	270	8	*	*	-	*	275	28	167	166
% at Level II Satisfactory	71%	92%	69%	88%	•	•	-	•	69%	29%	66%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics	223	9	203	6	*	*		*	205	12	127	n/a
# at Level II Satisfactory Standard	223	9	203	O			-		203	12	127	II/a
Total Tests	297	12	271	8	*	*		*	276	28	167	166
	75%	75%	75%	75%	*	*	-	*	74%	43%	76%	
% at Level II Satisfactory Standard	75%	75%	75%	75%			-		74%	43%	70%	n/a
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	75	*	71	*				*	70	*	44	n/a
Standard	75		7 1		-	-	-		70		44	II/a
Total Tests	102	*	96	*				*	97	*	61	61
% at Level II Satisfactory	74%	*	90 74%	*	-	-	-	*	72%	*	72%	n/a
Standard	7470		7470		-	-	-		1270		1270	II/a
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	60	*	51	5	*	*	_	_	52	*	29	n/a
Standard	00		31	3					52		23	11/4
Total Tests	84	*	73	5	*	*	_	_	75	*	41	40
% at Level II Satisfactory	71%	*	70%	100%	*	*	_	_	69%	*	71%	n/a
Standard	7 1 70		1070	100 /0			_	_	0370		7 1 70	11/4
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	n/a
Standard												111.00
Total Tests	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
. 5.31 10010												

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Special	(Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
% at Level II Satisfactory	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme	ents											
Number Participating	316	16	286	8	*	*	-	*	294	35	n/a	178
Total Students	318	16	287	9	*	*	-	*	295	35	n/a	178
Participation Rate	99%	100%	100%	89%	*	*	-	*	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asses	ssments											
Number Participating	317	16	287	8	*	*	-	*	295	35	n/a	178
Total Students	318	16	287	9	*	*	-	*	295	35	n/a	178
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	89%	*	*	-	*	100%	100%	n/a	100%

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hisnanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disady	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current)
Federal Graduation Rates	Otadonto	American	mopunio	***********	maian	Asian	isianaci	Nucco	Disaav		(2701110)	(Guillit)
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	luation Rate (Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2015									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	_	-	_	-	-	-	_	_	_	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	luation Rate (Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2014									
Number Graduated	- `		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rat	e (Gr 9-12): C	lass of 2014	ļ									
Number Graduated	- 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a Mathematics **Number Proficient** n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports. Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps

based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%
Bachelors	40.4	75.7%	70.3%	74.7%
Masters	13.0	24.3%	28.6%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty **Summary Reports**

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

High Poverty

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General Education	Special Education	Total
Total Number of Teachers		43	2	45
Total Number of Classes		43	2	45
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	42	2	44
	Percent	97.67%	100.00%	97.78%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	1	0	1
	Percent	2.33%	0.00%	2.22%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number o	f Teachers
	Elem	secondary
	(PK-6)	(7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	1	0
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Numbe	r of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	2	1
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			•	%	%	%
Od	Outle of	Charlent Curren	%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group Overall	Below Basic	Basic 64	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	American Indian	36		31	7
			n/a 13	n/a 87	n/a 66	n/a
		Asian Black	49	67 51	17	30 2
			49	56	22	3
		Hispanic White	44 18	82	50	ა 13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
			59	41	12	2
		English Language Learners		4 i 54	20	3
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
	_	American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	72
	_	Limited English Proficient	92

Grade	Subject Mathematics	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment