Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: MATHEWS EL Campus ID: 227901123 District Name: AUSTIN ISD

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability

STAAR Percent A				African American ory Standa				Asian			Special		ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
Grade 3 Reading	2016 729 2015 749			*	80% 67%	93% 93%	-	*	-	*	63%	70% 67%	* 78%	87% 90%	87% 69%	-
Mathematics	2016 749 2015 749			*	87% 89%	73% 85%	-	*	- -	*	*	62% 83%	* 100%	67% 90%	81% 77%	-
Grade 4 Reading	2016 749	% 7 4%	89%	*	78%	97%		*		*	*	80%	100%	94%	85%	
Reading	2015 719			*	72%	100%	-) -	*	*	*	*	69%	*	88%	94%	-
Mathematics	2016 729 2015 719			*	67% 61%	90% 90%	-	*	- *	*	*	75% 69%	*	87% 69%	81% 90%	-
Writing	2016 689			*	88%	100%	, -	*	-	*	*	89%	100%		91%	-
	2015 679	% 69%	86%		67%	93%	-	•	•	•	•	69%	•	85%	87%	-
Grade 5																
Reading	2016 809			*	88%	100%		*	-	*	*	88%	*	100%	93%	-
	2015 839	% 85%	98%	*	96%	100%	*	*	-	100%	86%	94%	*	100%	97%	-
Mathematics	2016 85%	% 86%	96%	*	86%	100%		*		*	*	86%	*	91%	100%	
Mathematics	2015 75%			*	74%	100%		*	-	100%	71%	71%	*	95%	83%	-
Science	2016 739			*	69%	79%	-	*	-	*	*	56%	*	71%	77%	-
	2015 699	% 70%	75%	*	61%	88%	*	*	-	100%	*	47%	*	81%	70%	-
Grade 6																
Reading	2016 689	% 68%	78%	*	71%	92%	_	*	_	*	*	56%	*	71%	83%	_
J	2015 739	% 72%	93%	-	83%	100%	-	-	-	*	*	80%	-	94%	91%	-
Mathematics	2016 719	% 70 %	73%	*	62%	83%	_	*	_	*	*	56%	*	65%	79%	_
Watternatios	2015 729			-	72%	90%	-	-	-	*	*	73%	-	83%	82%	-
All Credes																
All Grades All Subjects	2016 749	% 74%	85%	74%	76%	91%		85%	_	100%	48%	72%	79%	85%	86%	
All Subjects	2015 739			78%	74%	94%	*	87%	*	100%	39%	72%	79%	87%	84%	-
Reading	2016 729	% 72%	88%	69%	79%	96%	_	91%	-	100%	52%	74%	82%	89%	87%	-
J	2015 749	% 73%	90%	82%	81%	98%	*	89%	*	100%	48%	77%	81%	93%	88%	-
Mathematics	2016 75%	% 7 5%	82%	69%	74%	87%	_	91%	_	100%	48%	69%	76%	79%	85%	_
Mationatio	2015 739			82%	74%	90%	*	78%	*	100%	43%	74%	88%	84%	83%	-
Writing	2016 689	% 68%	96%	*	88%	100%	, -	*	-	*	*	89%	100%	100%	91%	-
v	2015 689	% 66%		*	67%	93%	-	*	*	*	*	69%	*	85%	87%	-
Science	2016 779 2015 759			*	69% 61%	79% 88%	- *	*	-	* 100%	*	56% 47%	*	71% 81%	77% 70%	-
STAAR Percent a																
All Grades All Subjects	2016 429	/ 460/	EC0/	47%	40%	66%		58%		79%	13%	38%	33%	57%	56%	
All Subjects	2015 389			47% 52%	40% 38%	72%	*	58% 61%	*	79% 63%	13%	38% 44%	33% 49%	57% 56%	59%	-
	_0.0 00/	· +2/0	J. 70	O= /0	5570	/0		0170		5570	.570	. + /0	.5 /0	5570	5570	
Reading	2016 429			46%	44%	73%	-	64%	-	92%	15%	42%	41%	64%	60%	-
	2015 409	% 44%	69%	55%	49%	83%	*	67%	*	92%	19%	53%	56%	68%	70%	-

													Two or	,					
Mathematics	2016 4		Distri 44% 39%	5		African mericar 46% 55%	1 Hispa n 34% 32%	ic Whit 59% 66%	, o -	ian	Asian 55% 67%	Pacific Islander - *	More	Special Ed 7% 10%		ELL 24% 50%	Female 49% 50%	Male 53% 52%	Migrant - -
Writing	2016 3 2015 3	/ -	43% 33%		3% 3%	*	69% 22%	74% 70%			*	- *	*	*	67% 50%	60%	75% 54%	70% 52%	-
Science	2016 4 2015 4		50% 45%		9% 1%	*	19% 30%	55% 50%			*	-	* 50%	*	19% 35%	*	33% 29%	43% 50%	-
STAAR Percent a	t Level	III Ad	lvance	ed															
All Grades All Subjects	2016 ²		21% 19%		1% 5%	26% 37%	19% 22%	36% 45%			50% 43%	- *	41% 29%	8% 4%	22% 25%	26% 33%	33% 36%	29% 34%	- -
Reading	2016 1 2015 1		21% 21%		8% 9%	31% 36%	21% 34%	47% 62%			55% 56%	- *	50% 54%	7% 10%	25% 35%	24% 38%	41% 52%	35% 47%	- -
Mathematics	2016 2 2015		21% 17%		5% 7%	31% 36%	16% 16%	28% 34%			45% 44%	- *	33% 15%	7% 0%	18% 20%	24% 38%	24% 26%	26% 28%	- -
Writing	2016 ²		17% 10%		5% 5%	*	31% 11%	48% 30%			*	- *	*	*	39% 25%	60% *	57% 27%	30% 23%	-
Science	2016 ²		20% 19%		1% 0%	*	13% 13%	14% 31%			*	-	* 0%	*	6% 12%	*	8% 14%	13% 23%	-
STAAR Participat	tion (All	Grad	des)																
All Tests				99% 99%	99% 99%	98% 100%	92% 100%	97% 100%	98% 100%	- 100%	100 6 100		1009 1009						5% - 0% -
Reading				99% 99%	99% 98%	98% 100%	93% 100%	99% 100%	98% 100%	- 100%	100 6 100		100° 6 100°						5% - D% -
Mathematics				00% 99%	99% 99%	98% 100%	93% 100%	97% 100%	99% 100%	- 100%	100 6 100		1009 6 1009						"% - 0% -
Writing				99% 99%	99% 99%	93% 100%	* 100%	89% 100%	96% 100%	-	100	- 9% 1009	* % 1009	* % 100%	90% % 100%				5% - 0% -
Science				99% 99%	99% 99%	100% 100%			100% 100%	- 100%	* 6 100	- 1% -	* 1009	* % 100%	100% % 100%		100		0% - 0% -
STAAR Participat	tion Res	ults	by As	sessi	nent Ty	pe for S	Students	s Serve	d in Sp	ecial	Educ	ation Se	ttings (All Grad	les)				
Reading Tests % of Participants		l-	2016	98%	% 96%	6 96%	*	100%	100%	-		* -	-	96%	94%	100%	6 100%	94%	-
% STAAR/EOG		10	2016	139	6%	4%	*	0%	11%	-		* -	-	4%	0%	0%	8%	0%	-
% STAAR/EOG Accommodations			2016					92%	89%	-		* -	-	89%		100%		88%	-
% STAAR Alte % of Non-Partici			2016 2016				*	8% 0%	0% 0%	-		* - * -	-	4% 4%	0% 6%	0% 0%	0% 0%	6% 6%	-
Mathematics Tests % of Participants	3	la.	2016	99%	% 98%	6 93%	*	92%	100%	-		* -	-	93%	88%	100%	6 92%	94%	-
% STAAR/EOG		Ю	2016	12%	6%	3%	*	0%	10%	-		* -	-	3%	0%	0%	8%	0%	-
% STAAR/EOG Accommodations % STAAR Alte			2016 2016				*	85% 8%	90% 0%	-		* -	-	86% 3%	88% 0%	100% 0%	6 85% 0%	88% 6%	-
% of Non-Partici			2010				*	8%	0%	-		* _	_	3% 7%	13%	0%		6%	-

^{&#}x27;*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

1%

% of Non-Participants

2016

2%

8%

0%

0%

13%

8%

6%

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

^{&#}x27;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

Performance Status - State	All Student	African sAmerican	Hispanic	White	American Indian						ELL I (Current & Monitored			Total Eligible	
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Y	0070	Y	Y	0070	0070	0070	0070	Y	N	0070		4	5	80
Mathematics	Y		Ý	Ý					Ý	N			4	5	80
Writing	Υ			Υ									2	2	100
Science	Υ			Υ									2	2	100
Social Studies													0	0	
Total													12	14	86
Performance Status - Federa	I														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	Υ		N	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν	Ν		n/a			
Mathematics	N		N	Υ	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N		n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ	Υ			5	5	100
Mathematics	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ	N			4	5	80
Total													9	10	90
Federal Graduation Status (To Graduation Target Met	arget: See	e Reason Co	des)										0	0	
Reason Code *** Total													0	0	
District: Met Federal Limits o Reading	n Alterna	tive Assessn	nents												
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Total Overall Total													21	24	88
Overall IUlai													41	44	00

⁺ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current)
Performance Rates			•								ŕ	
Reading	474	0	5 0	00		40		40	40	4.4	20	-1-
# at Level II Satisfactory	174	8	52	92	-	10	-	12	48	14	20	n/a
Standard	405	4.4	0.5	00		4.4		40	0.4	00	00	
Total Tests	195	11	65	96	-	11	-	12	64	26	22	14
% at Level II Satisfactory	89%	73%	80%	96%	-	91%	-	100%	75%	54%	91%	n/a
Standard												
Mathematics	400	•	40	0.5		40		40	4-	4.0	40	,
# at Level II Satisfactory	163	8	48	85	-	10	-	12	45	13	19	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	194	11	63	97	-	11	-	12	62	26	22	14
% at Level II Satisfactory	84%	73%	76%	88%	-	91%	-	100%	73%	50%	86%	n/a
Standard												
Writing						*					_	
# at Level II Satisfactory	47	*	13	26	-	*	-	*	15	*	7	n/a
Standard		_									_	
Total Tests	48	*	14	26	-	*	-	*	16	*	7	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	98%	*	93%	100%	-	*	-	*	94%	*	100%	n/a
Standard												
Science		_							_			_
# at Level II Satisfactory Standard	38	*	11	22	-	*	-	*	7	*	*	n/a
Otalidaid												

^{***} Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

							.	Two or	_		ELL	
	All	African American	Hienanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	More Races	Econ Disady	Special Ed	(Current & Monitored)	(Current)
Total Tests	51	*	15	28	-	*	-	*	13	*	*	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	75%	*	73%	79%	-	*	-	*	54%	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												2/2
# at Level II Satisfactory Standard	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total Tests	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
% at Level II Satisfactory	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme											_	
Number Participating	205	13	70	99	-	11	-	12	72	27	n/a	17
Total Students	209	14	71	101	-	11	-	12	74	28	n/a	17
Participation Rate	98%	93%	99%	98%	-	100%	-	100%	97%	96%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asses	ssments											
Number Participating	205	13	68	101	-	11	-	12	71	27	n/a	17
Total Students	209	14	70	102	-	11	-	12	73	29	n/a	17
Participation Rate	98%	93%	97%	99%	-	100%	-	100%	97%	93%	n/a	100%

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disady	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current)
Federal Graduation Rates											,,	,
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	luation Rate ((Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2015									
Number Graduated	- '	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	luation Rate ((Gr 9-12): Cla	ass of 2014									
Number Graduated	-	· - ′	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rat	e (Gr 9-12): C	lass of 2014	ı									
Number Graduated	- '	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a Mathematics **Number Proficient** n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

- Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
- When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).
- Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year. Identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional gualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.4%	1.0%
Bachelors	13.5	50.7%	70.3%	74.7%
Masters	13.1	49.3%	28.6%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty **Summary Reports**

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Low Poverty

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General	Special	Total
		Education	Education	
Total Number of Teachers		27	2	29
Total Number of Classes		34	2	36
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	34	2	36
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of	Teachers
	Elem (PK-6)	 secondary (7-12)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	Ó	Ó
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0
Non-renewable	0	0
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

Number o	f Teachers
General Education	Special Education

--- Number of Teachers ----**Special Education General Education Highly Qualified** 0 0 **Not Highly Qualified** 0 0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade Grade 4	Subject Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment