

Amended Meeting Notes

Community Bond Oversight Committee

April 9, 2024, 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

The **April 9, 2024** Community Bond Oversight Meeting will be held **4000 S I35 Frontage Rd, Austin, TX 78704**. The public can provide [comments](#). See instructions for public comment below the agenda.

The Community Bond Oversight Committee met on **April 9, 2024**, to consider and discuss and take action on the items of the agenda below. These meeting minutes are supplemented by the [meeting materials](#). More information can be found at the [2017 Bond Program Website](#) & [2022 Bond Program Website](#).

The following individuals were in attendance:

Members (9): April Clark, Anna Valdez, Mark Grayson, Jennifer Carson, Ann Phipps, Lawrence Huang, Barbara Spears-Corbett, Monty Exter, Ryan Pollock

Staff in attendance(19): Adriana Cedillo, Katrina Montgomery, Christine Steenport, Catherine Martinez, Maggie Infante, Michael Mann, Bianca Medina-Leal, Camille Falgout, Rufo Reyes, John Kelly, Katy Fitzgold, Jaimie Miller, Kristy Rembert, Peter Gyenis, Ed Ramos, Sarah O'Brien, Matthew Campbell, Omar Gomez, and Laura Browder.

AGENDA ITEM	Presenter	Additional Notes
Call to Order *	Co-Chairs	The meeting was called to order at 6:09 p.m. by Mark Grayson
Public Comment -(2 mins per comment, max 10 mins)	Camille Falgout	April Clark, I would like to request that the CBOC meetings be recorded, and the recordings be made public. I have been told that there is not a fund to provide recordings. I was also told the CBOC is the public facing committee that ensures the bond is spent as promised to voters. Voters should have full access.
Approval of Minutes November 14, 2023 & February 13, 2024 (Prior Meetings)	Co-Chairs	Approval of 11/14/23 Minutes & 02/13/24 minutes with Corrections.
CBOC Committee Operations	Co-Chairs	Introduce Omar Gomez, Assistant Director of Product Management. Glossary review by members. Meeting logistics. Anna Valdez is the timekeeper. To facilitate staying on schedule, communication cards are provided, so members can add statements for co-chairs and staff to review later, in case their issues did not fit in the time allotted on the agenda.
CMD 2022 <u>Modernization/Comprehensive</u> Projects	Michael Mann & Program Managers	Odom ES is the furthest along and will open January 2025. Cook ES and Williams ES will open August 2025. All students are staying on site. Site plan, and renderings. Allison ES is in design development, to open in 2026. In the meantime, in place swing, stay on site, while new building is built, then moved to new building, at which point the old building will be demolished. Harris ES full modernization with offsite swing to Sims ES. April Clark asked to clarify the designation of "Y" or "N" for "on budget" on slide. Most Open concept projects will be executed for a guaranteed maximum price. Yes, is one that CMD operations and PAM present where we are at cost projection. This refers to the construction budget and does not reflect project

		<p>contingency. Completing the project within the bond book amount still appears to be in reach. April Clark requested more up to date financials, as the February financials were not sent out prior to the meeting.</p> <p>Linder ES Jan 2026 in place swing. Grading change across the site has been challenging. Pecan Springs update: was on site swing, found problematic due to the small campus footprint. Winn ES is the new swing space option. Pushed opening to Aug 2026 Wooten ES full modernization. Jan 2026 off site swing to Webb MS. Across the entire Bond program, there are challenges due to money, scope, and schedule. Secondary challenges: people/labor, materials, and escalation of cost. Needs are larger than the scope, the scope is pulling at the budget along with escalating costs. To address these challenges, such as swing spaces, permits, long lead times, CMD is implementing a variety of strategies, including staff augmentation, ILA (interlocal agreement) unique to AISD with City of Austin, still a challenge. Developing new SOPs. Working with HUB, Bond procurement Services.</p>
<p>CMD 2022 Targeted Projects</p>	<p>CMD Staff</p>	<p>The phases for targeted projects are design (permitting), bidding contract, pre-construction, and construction. Most are to be done over the summer. Secure entry vestibule projects organized by region: north, central, south- Window film 66 complete & fencing & locks & keys have mostly not yet begun. Athletic fields: 2 out for bid, (LBJ and Northeast) others in Pre-Design & design.</p> <p>Laura Browder with technology (Prop B)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff device refresh (central office staff too) • Student devices • Security <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Duo multifactor ○ Privacy and security software ○ Firewall upgrades • Network <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Final usage of all 2017 bond funds before moving in 2022 consideration of impact from prop A projects. <p>Timeline summer 2024 central office. Ann Phipps asked how many of the staff will get new devices and on what schedule. All staff with badges will get a new device in summer 2024. Larry Huang asked if student devices 1:1 across the board pre-k-12th, because it can be difficult to share. Devices will come out of warranty soon. In the younger grades, it's a class-set model: devices do not go home. Even in some upper elementary grades, where devices currently go home, some campuses have requested that they stay at school. Piloted charging station with a smaller footprint.</p>

		<p>Larry Huang asked: Right now, if all elementary students need a device will they all have one? Yes</p> <p>April Clark: how are we doing compared to budget? What increases are you seeing? We rely on discounts with vendors for large purchase amounts. Finding high amounts of breakage, so we are pursuing up to a 4-year warranty.</p>
CMC Previous Bond Projects updates (2013 & 2017)	CMD Staff	<p>2017 Targeted 153 completed projects, 19 underway.</p> <p>2013 bond projects in the Bond Book are complete. 4 projects are underway, supported by interest-earned funds</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. HVAC controls 2. Improvement to mitigate courtyard flooding at Murchison 3. HVAC repairs at 16campuses 4. Service Center Water Improvements <p>These projects are items CBOC recommends to BOARD and involve already-committed money.</p>
<p>Finance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2013 Financials • 2017 Financials • 2022 Financials 	Adriana Cedillo	<p>Financials for the 2013 Bond should close out completely by Aug 31.</p> <p>Q&A on slide 31 (2017 projects) we did not get all of \$40 million that was ascribed to a land sale. The voter-approved Bond total \$1,050,984,000 is without the \$40 million land sale</p> <p>Monty Exter asked: is the 11 million available balance still up there for grabs? No. Some items have not been entered to the system and others are still being solicited, PA sound systems. General Bond money not to be spent.</p> <p>Mark Grayson noted that at one point, the technology portion of a prior bond envisioned printer in every classroom, it no longer makes sense anymore, so the money was spent differently. Staff have the freedom to change if the spending is on items still in the intended scope.</p> <p>2022 Prop funds out of \$40 mill \$38 has been spent on Prop A (construction) projects</p>
HUB Presentation	Dinita Caldwell	<p>HUB on the 2022 bond data: 2013 hub goals blown out of the water. 2017 results are in finalization, and will be made available for the next meeting</p> <p>For the 2022 Bond, we are looking at reporting out more holistic goals for total HUB participation, not by gender or ethnicity. This is in keeping with practices being followed by other area HUB programs. However, the CBOC will still receive the detail by gender and ethnicity.</p> <p>Going to the April board, only showing completed projects, JOC, and COOP contracts.</p> <p>Q&A If not longer by race and gender, how will you track? It will be the combined goals. Lots of changes.</p> <p>Larry Huang noted that the 2017 bond program may not have disclosed performance. How are we incorporating the tracking for the 2022 bond?</p>

		<p>Contractor's performance is important. And peers' performance?</p> <p>We are not at that part yet. More like an Audit style. to get 2017 closed out and feedback. CSP scoring is not just the lowest price, it is satisfaction as well.</p> <p>Monty Exter asked: how do we present the data, given that some firms may overlap in more than one category, and a "pie in the sky" idea: can we distinguish between merely woman-owned and genuinely woman-led. HUB does not double count participation, the HUB itself must choose the category they belong to.</p>
	<p>Communications</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Social Media / News Events • CAT & Community Meetings 	<p>Sarah O'Brien or Katy Fitzgerald</p> <p>Communications: Bond Highlights, Kick off, CAT meetings, groundbreaking events</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sadler Means (during day to incorporate the students) • Odom • William • Wooten • Harris <p>CAT recruitment Wooldridge Akins</p> <p>Do we have back up if there are not enough CAT members?</p> <p>On the CAT, it shows who is staff, teacher, community members, less parent participation than other schools.</p> <p>Mark Grayson noted a lesson learned for next time, when there are schools where things are delayed or pushed back, it's important to maintain ongoing touch-points with that campus community to maintain transparency and cut down on rumor-mongering.</p> <p>If you look at future bonds every school that is getting bond money is informed. The Principal is intended to lead, with the staff buying into the project as well.</p> <p>Jennifer Carson: Yet there have been times when something has been promised to a key campus leader, and then that person leaves. Sometimes, then the promises are changed. The new effort is generally good, but institutional knowledge is important. Each project is intended to have a Campus liaison from CMD who stays in touch with campus staff, Winn ES for example Marisa is the PM to keep them updated about the secure entry vestibule project and when the Pecan Springs swing will happen on the Winn campus. Larry Huang noted that at one point, Austin High's CAC developed a future model for Austin HS, including adjustments to the different wings, and changes to the campus itself. Campus content planning (master planning) systems are supporting these efforts, look at the project pages for more detail.</p> <p>April Clark raised the opposite opinion situation of campuses that do not have parent participation and may not have historical</p>

		<p>knowledge. Often those who speak the most or more often get more attention.</p> <p>Staff replied that they intend to address this by getting record keeping systems, developing priority for future bonds, hopefully to capture things that do not get covered with this bond.</p> <p>Monty Exter expressed concerns about relying upon one communication channel, social media</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Putting out engagements? Getting contact tack? Maggie is part of the implementation team, 2. It's very obvious district doing engagements, are they also focusing on how they can be expanded? Examples include texts, emails, flyers, posts on Next-door, follow up email with information from the links <p>Milestone event at Sadler Means May 4th. Pierce community coming back to the school. Principal weekly, community member participation.</p>
Future Items for Discussion	Co-Chairs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surplus vs deficit for 2017 Bond • Financials from the month before the meeting available for review prior to the meeting • February financials will be in email tomorrow
Adjourn	Co-Chairs	Adjourn 7:59 pm by Mark Grayson, second by committee.

Public comments can be provided to the committee in writing via Austin ISD CBOCinternal@austinhisd.org. Public comment must be received by 12:00 p.m. on **April 9, 2024**. Limit 2 minutes per commenter. All comments, whether they are read or not, will be supplied to the committee in their entirety.