



Boundary Advisory Committee (05.29.2019) Guidelines for ranking boundary scenario maps

Primary Criteria

Weight of 4

- Use 3-year enrollment projections for existing schools
- Use 3-year population projections for new schools
- If a receiving school is projected to be over 115% of its permanent capacity in year 3 – then do not score the scenario, as it results in overcrowding at the receiving school
- Rate the schools that are below 115% of permanent capacity
 - 0 = Below 75%
 - 2 = 75-84%
 - 5 = 85-94%
 - 3 = 95-104%
 - 1 = 105-115%
- Multiply rating by weight of 4 – to determine the score

Secondary Criteria

Weight varies from 1-3 based on priority

1. Maintain as much walkability and biking as possible, while providing for safe routes. (weighted as a 3)
2. Use major roads and subdivisions as boundaries. (weighted as a 2)
3. Carefully consider transportation routes. (weighted as a 1)

- Only rate receiving schools
- Rate the receiving schools 1-5. Multiply the rating by the weight identified above – to determine the score.
 - 1 = Significantly worse
 - 2 = Slightly worse
 - 3 = Neutral
 - 4 = Slightly better
 - 5 = Significantly better
- Major roadways – as boundaries (criteria #2)
 - SH-45
 - Brodie Lane
 - Slaughter Lane
 - Davis Lane
 - Manchaca Road

Results

- Total all scores for primary criteria and separately for secondary criteria for each plan.
- Add primary and secondary scores together.
- Highest score is the preferred map. If there is a tie – then the map with the highest primary criteria becomes the preferred map.